	Application No. Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	09/701,732		NAGY et	al.
general section of the section of th	Examiner Emily Bernha	rdt	Art Unit 1624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Emily Bernhardt	(3)			
(2) Mr. Brett Nelson	(4)			
Date of Interview 7/29/03				
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes				
Claim(s) discussed: All in general Identification of prior art discussed: Zara (GB'526).				
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: Declaration was discussed. The examiner indicated that the clearly set forth. As applicants are urging unexpected resultant analog, they must show same reaction conditions when continuous shown in Zara.	ne reaction conditions alts for production of i	for obtainin nstant com	ng instant compo npound over N-r	ound was not nethylated
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	copy of the amendment erate record of the sub MAL WRITTEN REPLY PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	ents that we estance of to THE LA If a reply to DATE TO I	the interview (if ST OFFICE ACT to the last Office FILE A STATEM	claims allowable is box is checked). FION MUST action has ENT OF THE
SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	rd of Interview require	ements on r	everse side or o	on attached
				Bunkers LY BERNHARDT ARY EXAMINER RT UNIT 1624
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's	s signature, if re	quired